Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Chapter 6 – Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

© Thierry Roncalli[†] & CRC Press

[†]Evry University & Lyxor Asset Management, France

Instructors may find the description of the book at the following addresses:

http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/9781482207156 http://www.thierry-roncalli.com/RiskParityBook.html

May 22, 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶

1 D C



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

2 / 25

Figure: Equity and bond risk contributions in diversified funds



3 / 25

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

Table: Mean and standard deviation of the ex-ante risk premium for diversified funds (in %)

Assat	$\hat{\mu}\left(ilde{\pi} ight)$			$\hat{\sigma}(ilde{\pi})$			
Asset	Def.	Bal.	Dyn.	Def.	Bal.	Dyn.	
Equity	2.05	3.71	4.02	20.68	28.19	28.26	
Bond	1.57	0.77	0.26	4.05	7.37	7.56	

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ - 필 -

Figure: Equity and bond ex-ante risk premia for diversified funds



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

Figure 6.5, Page 276

Figure: Histogram of ex-ante performance contributions



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes



Figure: Influence of the correlation on the expected risk premium

8 / 25

Table: Statistics of diversified and risk parity portfolios

Portfolio	$\hat{\mu}_{1Y}$	$\hat{\sigma}_{1Y}$	SR	MDD	γ_1	γ_2
Defensive	5.41	6.89	0.42	-17.23	0.19	2.67
Balanced	3.68	9.64	0.12	-33.18	-0.13	3.87
Dynamic	1.70	14.48	-0.06	-48.90	-0.18	5.96
Risk parity	5.12	7.29	0.36	-21.22	0.08	2.65
Static	4.71	7.64	0.29	-23.96	0.03	2.59
Leveraged RP	6.67	9.26	0.45	-23.74	0.01	0.78

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Figure 6.7, Page 280

Figure: Backtest of the risk parity strategy



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

Figure: Relationship between the beta β_i and the alpha α_i in the presence of borrowing constraints





Figure: Impact of leverage aversion on the efficient frontier

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting



Figure: Average allocation of European pension funds

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

13 / 25

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Table: Expected returns and risks for the SAA approach (in %)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
μ_i	4.2	3.8	5.3	10.4	9.2	8.6	5.3	11.0	8.8
σ_i	5.0	5.0	7.0	10.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	18.0	30.0

Table: Correlation matrix of asset returns for the SAA approach (in %)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
(1)	100								
(2)	80	100			I				
(3)	60	40	100		I				l
(4)	-20	-20	50	100					
$\overline{(5)}$	$^{-}-10^{-}$	$-\overline{20}$			100				
(6)	-20	-10	20	60	90	100			
(7)	-20	-20	20	50	1 70	60	100		l
(8)	-20	-20	30	60	70	70	70	100	
$\overline{(9)}$		0	_ 10_	20	20	20		30	$\overline{100}$

5900

臣

Figure: Risk budgeting policy of the pension fund (SAA approach)



Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Image: Image:

15 / 25

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Ξ

▲ 글 ▶

< **Ξ**



Figure: Strategic asset allocation in Markowitz framework

16 / 25

Table:	Long-term	strategic	portfolios

Accet class	R	В	RI	B*	MVO		
Assel Class	Xi	\mathcal{RC}_{i}^{\star}	Xi	\mathcal{RC}_{i}^{\star}	Xi	\mathcal{RC}_{i}^{\star}	
(1)	36.8%	20.0%	45.9%	18.1%	66.7%	25.5%	
(2)	21.8%	10.0%	8.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
(3)	14.7%	15.0%	13.5%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
$[\overline{(5)}]$	10.2%	20.0%	10.8%	21.4%	7.8%	$1\overline{5}.\overline{1}\%$	
(6)	5.5%	10.0%	6.2%	11.1%	4.4%	7.6%	
(8)	7.0%	15.0%	11.0%	24.9%	19.7%	49.2%	
[[9]	3.9%	10.0%	$ \bar{4}.\bar{3}\%]$	10.3%	$^{-}$ $\overline{1.5\%}^{-}$	$-\bar{2}.\bar{7}\%$	

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필 _

17 / 25

Asset class	Region	#1	#2	#3	#4
	US	20%	10%	30%	19.0%
	EU	20%	10%	30%	21.7%
Equity	UK	5%		10%	6.2%
	JP	5%		10%	2.3%
	ŪĪ	10%	$\overline{20\%}$	10%	
Coversien Dondo	EU	5%	15%	10%	5.9%
Sovereign Donds	UK	5%	5%		l
	JP	5%	5%		
	ŪĪ	5%	5%		$2\bar{4}.\bar{1}\%$
Corporate Donds	EU	5%	5%		10.7%
	ŪĪ	5%	5%		$\overline{2.6\%}$
	EU	5%	5%		7.5%
TIPS	- ŪŜ	5%	$\overline{15\%}$		

Table: Weights of the SAA portfolios

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

500

王

Table: Risk contributions of SAA portfolios with respect to economic factors

Factor	#1	#2	#3	#4
Activity	36.91%	19.18%	51.20%	34.00%
Inflation	12.26%	4.98%	9.31%	20.00%
Interest rate	42.80%	58.66%	32.92%	40.00%
Currency	7.26%	13.04%	5.10%	5.00%
Residual factors	0.77%	4.14%	1.47%	1.00%

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 클

		MKT	SMB	HML	MOM
ĺ	CW	0.98	-0.26	-0.06	-0.01
	ĒW	0.99	-0.08	0.22	-0.13
	MV	0.58	-0.16	0.18	-0.03
	MDP	0.80	-0.04	0.21	-0.11
	ERC	0.87	-0.11	0.24	-0.09
					,

Table: Estimate of the loading matrix A (Jan. 1992 – Jun. 2012)

Table: Risk contributions of risk-based S&P 100 indices with respect to economic factors (Q1 1992 – Q2 2012)

Factor	CW	EW	MV	MDP	ERC
Activity	71.7%	70.0%	29.4%	41.8%	62.1%
Inflation	21.8%	16.7%	4.7%	9.4%	9.5%
Interest rate	6.0%	12.7%	64.7%	46.9%	27.5%
Currency	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	1.9%	0.9%

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

王



Figure: Volatility decomposition of the risk-based S&P 100 indices

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes



Figure: Volatility decomposition of long/short portfolios

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes



Figure: Simulated performance of the S/B risk parity strategies

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

23 / 25

SQ (P



Figure: Simulated performance of the S/B/C risk parity strategies

Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting

Portfolio Allocation with Multi-Asset Classes

24 / 25

SQ (P

Table: Statistics of active risk parity strategies

AC	Strategy	$\hat{\mu}_{1Y}$	$\hat{\sigma}_{1\mathrm{Y}}$	SR	ペロロ	γ_1	Y 2	au
C/P	RP	5.10	7.30	0.35	-21.39	0.07	2.68	0.30
5/B	ARP	5.99	5.16	0.67	-9.35	0.02	2.11	4.92
	RP	5.67	7.36	0.43		$\overline{0.01}$	3.29	0.39
	ARP	6.82	5.10	0.84	-10.21	0.05	1.93	6.74

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필