The Alpha and Beta of ESG Investing

Thierry Roncalli*

*Amundi Asset Management¹, France.

RIA Conference, Montréal, April 25th, 2019





¹The opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and are not meant to represent the opinions or official positions of Amundi Asset Management.

Amundi quantitative research on ESG and climate risks

Joint research projects between Mohamed Ben Slimane, Leila Bennani, Théo Le Guenedal, Frédéric Lepetit, Thierry Roncalli, Takaya Sekine and Peter Tankov

- The Alpha and Beta of ESG Investing
- How ESG Investing Has Impacted the Asset Pricing of the Stock Market
- Economic Modeling of Climate Risks
- The Stock-Bond Puzzle of ESG Investing (forthcoming)
- Financial Modeling of Climate Risks (forthcoming)

research-center.amundi.com

What is the challenge?

"There is no Plan B, because there is no Planet B"

Ban Ki-moon, UN Secretary-General, September 2014

Is it a question of climate-related issues? In fact, it is more an economic growth issue²

"The Golden Rule of Accumulation: A Fable for Growthmen"

Edmund Phelps, American Economic Review, 1961 Nobel Prize in Economics, 2006

²The interconnectedness of E, S and G: Economy, Sustainability and Growth

Academic findings

- Relationship between shareholder rights and "*higher firm value, higher profits, higher sales growth, lower capital expenditures, and* [...] *fewer corporate acquisitions*" (Gompers *et al.*, 2003)
- Positive relation between high corporate social responsibility and low cost of equity capital (El Ghoul *et al.*, 2011): "*Employee Relations, Environmental Policies, Product Strategies lower the firms' cost of equity*"
- Corporate financial performance is a U-shape function of corporate social performance (Barnett and Salomon, 2012)
- Cultural differences explain the diversity and differences in intentions ('Value' or 'Values' oriented) of the currently available ESG data (Eccles and Stroehle, 2018)
- Negative/neutral impact: Schröder (2007), Hong and Kacperczyk (2009)

Mixed results

Scoring system

Table: An example of ESG criteria

Environmental

- Emission & energy use
- Water
- Green cars*
- Green financing*

Social

- Employment conditions
- Community involvement
- Access to medicine*
- Digital device*

Governance

- Board independence
- Audit and control
- Remuneration
- Shareholder' rights

Source: Amundi ESG Research.

 \Rightarrow Weighting schemes depend on sectors

(*) means a specific criterion (related to one or several sectors)

Scoring system

- Sector-neutral
- z-score profile (Gaussian mapping) \Leftrightarrow ESG ratings





The performance of ESG active management

The performance of ESG passive management Is ESG a new risk factor? The case of bonds Sorted portfolio methodology Return-based analysis Summary

Sorted portfolio methodology

Sorted-portfolio approach

- Sorted-based approach of Fama-French (1992)
- At each rebalancing date t, we rank the stocks according to their Amundi ESG z-score $s_{i,t}$
- We form the five quintile portfolios Q_i for i = 1, ..., 5
- The portfolio Q_i is invested during the period]t, t+1]:
 - Q_1 corresponds to the best-in-class portfolio (best scores)
 - Q_5 corresponds to the worst-in-class portfolio (worst scores)
- Quarterly rebalancing
- Universe: MSCI World Index
- Equally-weighted and sector-neutral portfolio (and region-neutral for the global universe)

The performance of ESG active management

The performance of ESG passive management Is ESG a new risk factor? The case of bonds Sorted portfolio methodology Return-based analysis Summary

North America

Figure: Annualized return of ESG sorted portfolios (North America)



The case of bonds

Sorted portfolio methodology Return-based analysis Summary

Eurozone

Figure: Annualized return of ESG sorted portfolios (Eurozone)



The performance of ESG active management

The performance of ESG passive management Is ESG a new risk factor? The case of bonds

North America





 \Rightarrow **E** is the winning pillar

Sorted portfolio methodology Return-based analysis

Eurozone



 \Rightarrow **G** is the winning pillar

Sorted portfolio methodology Return-based analysis Summary

Summary

• ESG investing begins to be rewarded by the market, but there is a strong heterogeneity among regions:



- ESG & long-term tail risk management: not yet confirmed!
- **S** is the New Black?

Performance of optimized portfolios Summary

Arbitrage between ESG and TE

Figure: Efficient frontier of ESG optimized portfolios (Global DM)



There is no free lunch ESG investing implies to take a tracking-error risk!

Performance of optimized portfolios Summary

Performance of optimized portfolios

Figure: Annualized excess return of ESG optimized portfolios (Global DM)



ESG investing & diversification: Mind the gap

Performance of optimized portfolios Summary

Performance of optimized portfolios



- Negative impact of E, S, G and ESG in North America before 2014
- Negative impact of **S** and **ESG** in Europe before 2014
- Positive impact in North America and Europe since 2014 (except **S**)

Summary

- Similar results than those obtained with ESG active management
- \bullet ESG investing requires to take tracking-error risk \Rightarrow Current SAA policies are not adapted

Summary

- **G** generates more tracking error than **E** and **S** (pprox imes 1.5)
- Impact of ESG on the diversification (stock picking \neq optimized index portfolios)

Single-factor analysis Multi-factor analysis Factor picking analysis Summary

Single-factor model

Table: Results of cross-section regressions with long-only risk factors (average R^2)

Factor	North America		Eurozone	
	2010 - 2013	2014 - 2017	2010 - 2013	2014 - 2017
Market	40.8%	26.2%	42.8%	37.7%
Size	39.3%	23.6%	37.1%	20.9%
Value	38.9%	24.4%	41.6%	35.2%
Momentum	39.6%	23.8%	40.8%	35.8%
Low-volatility	35.8%	22.2%	38.7%	34.9%
Quality	39.1%	24.1%	42.4%	36.5%
ESG	40.1%	25.1%	42.6%	37.3%

- Specific risk has increased during the period 2014 2017
- Since 2014, we find that:
 - ESG \succ Value \succ Quality \succ Momentum \succ ... (North America)
 - ESG \succ Quality \succ Momentum \succ Value $\succ \dots$ (Eurozone)

Multi-factor model

Table: Results of cross-section regressions with long-only risk factors (average R^2)

Multi-factor analysis

Factor picking analysis

Factor	North America		Eurozone	
Factor	2010 - 2013	2014 - 2017	2010 - 2013	2014 - 2017
Market	40.8%	26.2%	42.8%	37.7%
5F model	46.1%	35.4%	49.5%	45.3%
6F model (5F + ESG)	46.7%	36.8%	50.1%	46.0%

*** p-value statistic for the MSCI Index (time-series, 2014 – 2017):

- $6F = \frac{\text{Size}}{\text{Size}}$, Value, Momentum, Low-volatility, Quality, $\frac{\text{ESG}}{\text{Size}}$ (North America)
- 6F = Size, Value, Momentum, Low-volatility, Quality, ESG (Eurozone)

Factor selection

Single-factor analysis Multi-factor analysis Factor picking analysis Summary

Figure: Factor picking (North America, 2014 – 2017)



- Active management ≠ factor investing
- ESG does really matter for active management!
- The ESG-Value puzzle!

 $Quality \succ ESG \succ Momentum \succ Value \succ Low-volatility \qquad$ **BUT...**

Single-factor analysis Multi-factor analysis Factor picking analysis Summary

Factor selection

Figure: Factor picking (Eurozone, 2014 – 2017)



- Active management \neq factor investing
- ESG does really matter for both!
- The ESG-Quality puzzle!

 $\mathsf{ESG} \succ \mathsf{Value} \succ \mathsf{Momentum} \succ \mathsf{Quality} \succ \mathsf{Low-volatility} \qquad \mathsf{BUT...}$

Single-factor analysis Multi-factor analysis Factor picking analysis Summary

Summary

- ESG remains an alpha strategy in North America
- ESG becomes a beta strategy (= risk factor) in Europe?

Figure: The market of ESG investing in 2016



Source: Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (2017).

Single-factor analysis Multi-factor analysis Factor picking analysis Summary

The steamroller of ESG for institutional investors

Figure: Frequency of institutional RFPs that require ESG filters





- In some countries, 100% of RFPs require ESG filters
- For some institutional investors, 100% of RFPs require ESG filters (public, para-public and insurance investors)
- For some strategies, 100% of RFPs require ESG filters (index tracking)

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Why is ESG investing different in bond markets?

- Two effects for explaining price formation process
 - Intrinsic effect (asset selection: risks & return opportunities)
 - External effect (investment flows: supply/demand balance)
- $\bullet \ bonds \neq stocks$
- Supply/demand imbalance \Rightarrow structure of credit spreads

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Preliminary results from Ben Slimane et al. (2019)

- IG + HY
- EUR and USD denominated
- January 2010 November 2018
- 26400 bonds issued by 5130 firms

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Preliminary results from Ben Slimane et al. (2019)



Spread correction is then essential when implementing ESG in the bond market

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Preliminary results from Ben Slimane et al. (2019)

Crifo-Diaye-Oueghlissi model (2017)

We retain as dependent variable the log of the yield spread (OAS) of the i^{th} security:

$$n OAS_{i,t} = \alpha_t + \beta_s s_{i,t} + \beta_{sub} SUB_{i,t} + \beta_{MD} MD_{i,t} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{cat}} \beta_{CRT_j} CRT_{j,i,t} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sect}} \beta_{SEC_j} SEC_{j,i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

where:

- $s_{i,t}$ is the ESG z-score
- SUB_{i,t} is a dummy variable accounting for subordination
- CRT_{j,i,t} are dummies for categories of ratings
- SEC_{j,i,t} are dummies for sectors
- $MD_{i,t}$ is the modified duration

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Preliminary results from Ben Slimane et al. (2019)

We test the assumption: $eta_s < 0$

Preliminary results

- EUR \succ USD
- IG \succ HY
- Strong differences between sectors: (Banking, Basic materials, Insurance, Consumer goods) ≠ (Communication, Consumer cyclical, Utilities, Energy)

2014-2018 ≻ 2010-2013

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

The misunderstanding

- Markowitz, H. (1952), Portfolio Selection, Journal of Finance, 7(1), pp. 77-91.
- Modigliani, F., and Miller, M.H. (1958), The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and the Theory of Investment, *American Economic Review*, 48(3), pp. 261-297.
- \Rightarrow Two misunderstandings:
 - Optimize Capital allocation & asset allocation
 - Cost of capital & asset (stock/bond) return

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Enilogue

Spillover effects of ESG between bond and stock markets

Spillover

$$\mathsf{ESG} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Cost-of-capital} \nearrow \Rightarrow \mathsf{Profitability} \searrow \Rightarrow \mathsf{Stock} \text{ prices}$$

- Bond market \Rightarrow stock market
- Stock market ⇒ bond market (?)
- On the importance of lead/lag effects!

ESG investing and impact on corporate governance are then more correlated in the bond market than in the stock market

Stakeholder \neq Shareholder

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Epilogue

Keynes

"In the long run, we are all dead"

John Maynard Keynes^a, A Tract on Monetary Reform, 1923.

^a"Men will not always die quietly", The Economic Consequences of the Peace, 1919.

Carney

"The Tragedy of the Horizon" "The Tragedy of the Commons"

Mark Carney, Chairman of the Financial Stability Board, 2015

 \Rightarrow Back to the Golden Rule and the Fable for Growthmen...

Positive or negative ESG risk premium? ESG investing and capital allocation Stock/bond spillover Epilogue

Disclaimer

This material is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute a recommendation, a solicitation, an offer, an advice or an invitation to purchase or sell any fund, SICAV, sub-fund, ("the Funds") described herein and should in no case be interpreted as such.

This material, which is not a contract, is based on sources that Amundi considers to be reliable. Data, opinions and estimates may be changed without notice.

Amundi accepts no liability whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, that may arise from the use of information contained in this material. Amundi can in no way be held responsible for any decision or investment made on the basis of information contained in this material.

The information contained in this document is disclosed to you on a confidential basis and shall not be copied, reproduced, modified, translated or distributed without the prior written approval of Anundi, to any third person or retify in any country or jurisdiction which would subject Anundi or any of the Funds', to any representavitation requirements within these jurisdictions or where it might be considered as unlawful. Accordingly, this material is for distribution solely in jurisdictions where permitted and to persons who may receive it without beaching applicable logal or regulatory requirements.

Not all funds, or sub-funds will be necessarily be registered or authorized in all jurisdictions or be available to all investors.

Investment involves risk. Past performances and simulations based on these, do not guarantee future results, nor are they reliable indicators of futures performances.

The value of an investment in the Funds, in any security or financial product may fluctuate according to market conditions and cause the value of an investment to go up or down. As a result, you may lose, as the case may be, the amount originally invested.

All investors should seek the advice of their legal and/or tax counsel or their financial advisor prior to any investment decision in order to determine its suitability.

It is your responsibility to read the legal documents in force in particular the current French prospectus for each fund, as approved by the AMF, and each investment should be made on the basis of such prospectus, a copy of which can be obtained upon request free of charge at the registered office of the management company.

This material is solely for the attention of institutional, professional, qualified or sophisticated investors and distributors. It is not to be distributed to the general public, private customers or retail investors in any jurisdiction whatsoever nor to "US Persons".

Moreover, any such investor should be, in the European Union, a "Professional" investor as defined in Directive 2004/39/EC dated 21 May 2004 on markets in financial instruments ("HIFD") or as the case may be in each local regulations and, as far as the offering in Switzerland is concerned, a "Joulified Investor" within the meaning of the loften in Switzerland is concerned, a "Joulified Investor" within the meaning of the loften in Switzerland is concerned, a "Joulified Investor" within the meaning of the logt the Switzerland is Collective Investment Schemes Ordinance of 23 Joure 2006 (CISA), the Swits Collective Investment Schemes Ordinance of 22 November 2006 (CISO) and the FINMA's Circutal 086 on Public Ording within the meaning of the legislation on Collective Investment Schemes Ordinance of 2000 November 2006 (SISA), the Switzerland is distributed in the European Union to non "Professional" investors as defined in the MIFID or in each local regulation, or in Switzerland to investors who do not comply with the definition of "gualified Investor" and defined in the guarde adfined in the spliticable legislation and regulation.

Amundi, French joint stock company ("Société Anonyme") with a registered capital of €1 086 262 605 and approved by the French Securities Regulator (Autorité des Marchés Financiers-AMF) under number GP 04000036 as a portfolio management company,

90 boulevard Pasteur, 75015 Paris-France

437 574 452 RCS Paris.

www.amundi.com