

# Asset Management & Sustainable Finance

## Final Examination

Thierry Roncalli

8 February 2026

Deadline: 23 March 2026

Oral examination: 27 March 2026

**Remark 1** The final exam consists of 2 exercises. Please write your answers completely<sup>1</sup>. Be specific about the different concepts and different statistics you are using. Define the optimization program associated with each portfolio. Also provide one Python program by exercise.

- Concerning risk decomposition<sup>2</sup>, present the results as follows:

Asset	$x_i$	$\mathcal{MR}_i$	$\mathcal{RC}_i$	$\mathcal{RC}_i^*$
1				
2				
$\vdots$				
$n$				
$\mathcal{R}(x)$				✓

- The report is a zipped file whose filename is yourname.zip if you are doing the project alone or yourname1-yourname2.zip if you are doing the project in groups of two.
- The zipped file contains three files:
  1. The PDF document containing the answers to the two exercises and a cover sheet with your names;
  2. The Python program of each exercise with an explicit filename, e.g. *exercise1.py*.
- The project seems very long. However, once you understand how to solve a mean-variance optimization problem with a QP solver, you can duplicate your code for many questions. For example, Question 2.(c) is a duplication of Question 2.(b), as are Questions 3.(a), 3.(b) and 3.(c) in Exercise 1. The same is true for Questions 3.(a), 3.(b), 3.(c) and 3.(d) in Exercise 2.

---

<sup>1</sup>Read the questions carefully and answer all elements of the questions. For example, when I say “Find the portfolio  $x$  and compute its volatility  $\sigma(x)$ ”, you must give the numerical values of  $x$  and  $\sigma(x)$ . If you just give the numeric value of  $\sigma(x)$ , the answer is wrong because I don’t know what the portfolio weights are.

<sup>2</sup> $x_i$  is the weight (or the exposure) of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  asset in the portfolio,  $\mathcal{MR}_i$  is the marginal risk,  $\mathcal{RC}_i$  is the nominal risk contribution,  $\mathcal{RC}_i^*$  is the relative risk contribution and  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is the risk measure of the portfolio.

# 1 Portfolio optimization and risk budgeting

We consider the CAPM model:

$$R_i - r = \beta_i \cdot (R_m - r) + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $R_i$  is the return of asset  $i$ ,  $R_m$  is the return of the market portfolio,  $r$  is the risk-free asset,  $\beta_i$  is the beta of asset  $i$  with respect to the market portfolio and  $\varepsilon_i$  is the idiosyncratic risk. We assume that  $R_m \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_m, \sigma_m^2)$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tilde{\sigma}_i^2)$ ,  $R_m \perp \varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i \perp \varepsilon_j$ . We denote  $\mu_m$  as the expected return of the market portfolio,  $\sigma_m$  as the volatility of the market portfolio and  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$  as the idiosyncratic volatility.

We consider a universe of 8 assets with the following parameter values:

Asset $i$	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8
$\beta_i$	-1.00	-0.30	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.50
$\tilde{\sigma}_i$	15%	16%	10%	11%	8%	13%	12%	14%

and  $\sigma_m = 20\%$ . The risk free return is set to 1% and we assume that the expected return of the market portfolio is equal to  $\mu_m = 7\%$ .

We define the leverage ratio of the portfolio  $x$  as the sum of the absolute weights:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

1. (a) Compute the vector  $\mu$  of expected returns.  
(b) Compute the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  of stock returns.  
(c) Deduce the vector  $\sigma$  of volatilities and the correlation matrix  $\rho$  of stock returns.  
(d) Compute the Sharpe ratio of each asset.  
(e) How do you interpret the first two assets #1 and #2? Compute the correlation between asset #1 and the equally-weighted portfolio based on the last six assets. Comment on these results.
2. We consider long/short MVO portfolios such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$ .
  - (a) Give the QP formulation of the mean-variance optimization problem:
$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= \arg \min \frac{1}{2} x^\top \Sigma x - \gamma x^\top (\mu - r \mathbf{1}_8) \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \\ -10 \leq x_i \leq 10 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$
  - (b) Using the  $\gamma$ -problem, find the optimal solution<sup>3</sup>  $x^*(\gamma)$  when the coefficient  $\gamma$  is equal to 0, 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00. For each optimized portfolio, compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$ , its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$  and the corresponding leverage ratio  $\mathcal{L}(x^*(\gamma))$ .
  - (c) Draw the efficient frontier by considering granular values<sup>4</sup> of  $\gamma$ .
  - (d) Draw the relationship between the risk tolerance  $\gamma$  and the leverage ratio  $\mathcal{L}(x^*(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in [-0.5, 2.0]$ . Comment on these results.
  - (e) Using a bisection algorithm, calculate the MVO portfolio if we target an ex-ante volatility of 10% and 15%. Give the corresponding value of  $\gamma$  of the QP problem. For each optimized portfolio, compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ .

<sup>3</sup>You have to give the composition of each optimized portfolio.

<sup>4</sup>For instance, you can consider that  $\gamma = -0.5, -0.45, -0.40, \dots, -0.1, -0.05, 0, 0.05, 0.10, \dots, 0.95, 1, 2, \dots, 10$ .

- (f) Using the analytical formula, find the tangency portfolio. Compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ . What is the value of the implied risk tolerance associated to the tangency portfolio?
- (g) Using the efficient frontier with a fine grid of  $\gamma$ , find the tangency portfolio using the brute-force algorithm. Compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ .
- (h) Now consider the extended quadratic programming problem by including the risk-free asset in the investment universe. Formulate the extended QP problem, and solve it using a fine grid of  $\gamma \in [0.5, 1.5]$ . What do we observe when calculating the Sharpe ratio of the different optimized portfolios? How can this be explained? Which criterion can be used to implement the brute-force algorithm on the extended QP problem to find the tangency portfolio?
- (i) Compare the three solutions (f), (g) and (h) in terms of risk tolerance  $\gamma$ , weights  $x^*(\gamma)$ , expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ .

3. We consider **long/short MVO portfolios** such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x) = 1$ .

- (a) We consider the mean-variance optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= \arg \min \frac{1}{2} x^\top \Sigma x - \gamma x^\top (\mu - r \mathbf{1}_8) \\ \text{s.t.} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \\ \mathcal{L}(x) = 1 \\ -10 \leq x_i \leq 10 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the decomposition  $x = x^{\text{long}} - x^{\text{short}}$  with  $x^{\text{long}} \geq \mathbf{0}_8$  and  $x^{\text{short}} \geq \mathbf{0}_8$ . Write the mean-variance optimization problem in terms of  $x$ ,  $x^{\text{long}}$  and  $x^{\text{short}}$ .

- (b) Give the augmented QP formulation of the previous mean-variance optimization problem.
- (c) Using the  $\gamma$ -problem, find the optimal solution<sup>5</sup>  $x^*(\gamma)$  when the coefficient  $\gamma$  is equal to 0, 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00. For each optimized portfolio, compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$ , its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$  and the corresponding leverage ratio  $\mathcal{L}(x^*(\gamma))$ .
- (d) Compare the two solutions 2(b) and 3(c). Comments on these results.

4. We consider **long-only MVO portfolios** such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$  and  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ .

- (a) Using the  $\gamma$ -problem, find the optimal solution<sup>6</sup>  $x^*(\gamma)$  when the coefficient  $\gamma$  is equal to 0, 0.10, 0.20, 0.50 and 1.00. For each optimized portfolio, compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ . Compare the two solutions 3(c) and 4(a). Comments on these results.
- (b) Compare the efficient frontier by considering granular values of  $\gamma$  with the long/short efficient frontier obtained in Question 2.(c). Comment on these results.
- (c) Using a bisection algorithm, calculate the MVO portfolio if we target an ex-ante volatility of 10% and 20%. Give the corresponding value of  $\gamma$  of the QP problem. For each optimized portfolio, compute its expected return  $\mu(x^*(\gamma))$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x^*(\gamma))$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x^*(\gamma) | r)$ .
- (d) Find the long-only tangency portfolio  $x_{\text{MSR}}^*$ . Compute its expected return  $\mu(x_{\text{MSR}}^*)$ , its volatility  $\sigma(x_{\text{MSR}}^*)$  and its Sharpe ratio  $\text{SR}(x_{\text{MSR}}^* | r)$ . Compare these results with those obtained in the long/short case.

---

<sup>5</sup>You have to give the composition of each optimized portfolio.

<sup>6</sup>You have to give the composition of each optimized portfolio.

(e) Compute the beta coefficient  $\beta_i$  of each asset with respect to the long-only tangency portfolio  $x_{\text{MSR}}^*$ . Deduce the implied expected return  $\mu_i$  that is priced in by the market<sup>7</sup>, and the corresponding alpha coefficient  $\alpha_i$  of each asset.

5. We consider risk-budgeting portfolios.

- (a) Give the risk decomposition of the long-only tangency portfolio  $x_{\text{MSR}}^*$  (MSR).
- (b) Give the risk decomposition of the equally-weighted portfolio (EW).
- (c) Give the risk decomposition of the long-only minimum variance portfolio (MV).
- (d) Give the risk decomposition of the long-only most diversified portfolio (MDP).
- (e) Compute the equal risk contribution portfolio using the CCD algorithm. Give its risk decomposition.
- (f) Compute the beta  $\beta(x | b)$  of the portfolios MSR, EW, MV, MDP and ERC with respect to the benchmark  $b$  when  $b$  is the long-only tangency portfolio  $x_{\text{MSR}}^*$ . Same question when  $b$  is the EW portfolio. Comment on these results.

**Remark 2** *To obtain a readable plot of the efficient frontier, it is important to focus on the most relevant section, i.e., where  $5\% \leq \sigma(x) \leq 30\%$  and  $-2\% \leq \mu(x) \leq 12\%$ .*

---

<sup>7</sup>We assume that the market portfolio is the long-only tangency portfolio  $x_{\text{MSR}}^*$ .

## 2 Equity portfolio optimization with ESG and climate risk objectives

We consider an investment universe of  $n = 8$  stocks with two sectors ( $\mathcal{S}ector_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}ector_2$ ). The expected return  $\mu_i$  and the volatility  $\sigma_i$  of each stock  $i$  are reported below:

Table 1: Financial and climate metrics of the investment universe

Stock $i$	Sector	$b_i$	$\mu_i$	$\sigma_i$	$S_i$	$\mathcal{CI}_i$	$\mathcal{CM}_i$	$\mathcal{GI}_i$
1	$\mathcal{S}ector_1$	9.50%	5.00%	20.0%	-2.0	80	-5.0%	5.0%
2	$\mathcal{S}ector_2$	15.50%	5.50%	22.0%	+2.5	200	-7.5%	80.5%
3	$\mathcal{S}ector_1$	5.50%	6.00%	25.0%	+1.5	390	-1.5%	15.0%
4	$\mathcal{S}ector_1$	8.50%	4.00%	18.0%	+2.0	800	-2.0%	0.0%
5	$\mathcal{S}ector_2$	10.00%	7.00%	45.0%	-1.0	60	+8.0%	2.0%
6	$\mathcal{S}ector_2$	25.00%	10.00%	80.0%	-0.5	120	-4.0%	0.0%
7	$\mathcal{S}ector_2$	17.00%	8.75%	35.0%	-0.5	135	-7.0%	60.0%
8	$\mathcal{S}ector_1$	9.00%	6.25%	40.5%	+0.5	580	+2.0%	20.0%

The correlation matrix is equal to:

$$\mathbb{C} = (\rho_{i,j}) = \begin{pmatrix} 100\% & & & & & & & & \\ 50\% & 100\% & & & & & & & \\ 30\% & 30\% & 100\% & & & & & & \\ 60\% & 60\% & 60\% & 100\% & & & & & \\ 40\% & 30\% & 50\% & 30\% & 100\% & & & & \\ 30\% & 20\% & 40\% & 70\% & 50\% & 100\% & & & \\ 40\% & 60\% & 50\% & 60\% & 50\% & 60\% & 100\% & & \\ 30\% & 30\% & 50\% & 30\% & 30\% & 30\% & 60\% & 100\% \end{pmatrix}$$

In Table 1, we report, for each stock, its weight  $b_i$  in the benchmark, the corresponding ESG score  $S_i$ , the Scope 1+2 carbon intensity  $\mathcal{CI}_i$  in tCO<sub>2</sub>e/\$ mn, the carbon momentum  $\mathcal{CM}_i$ , and the green intensity  $\mathcal{GI}_i$  measured as the ratio of green capex to total capex over the past three years.

1. We consider the benchmark  $b$ .
  - (a) Compute the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .
  - (b) Compute the expected return  $\mu(b)$ , the volatility  $\sigma(b)$  and the Sharpe ratio  $SR(b | r)$  of the benchmark.
  - (c) We assume that the benchmark  $b$  is the optimal market portfolio. Compute the implied expected returns:

$$\tilde{\mu}^{(b)} = SR(b | r) \cdot \frac{\Sigma b}{\sigma(b)} \quad (1)$$

- (d) Compute the beta  $\beta_i(b)$  of each stock with respect to the benchmark  $b$ . Deduc the CAPM expected returns:

$$\tilde{\mu}_i^{(capm)} = r + \beta_i(b) \cdot (\mu(b) - r) \quad (2)$$

Compare the results 1(c) and 1(d). Comment on these results.

- (e) Deduce the alpha of each stock:

$$\alpha_i = \mu_i - \tilde{\mu}^{(b)}$$

Why is  $\alpha_i$  not equal to zero?

- (f) Compute  $\mathcal{CI}(b)$ ,  $\mathcal{CM}(b)$ ,  $\mathcal{GI}(b)$ , and the ESG score  $S(b)$ .

(g) Compute the carbon intensity, the carbon momentum, the green intensity and the ESG score for each sector<sup>8</sup>.

2. The investor's objective is to minimize the volatility of the tracking error relative to the benchmark while incorporating ESG and climate risk constraints. At each step, the investor adds a new constraint, resulting in the accumulation of constraints.

(a) The investor begins by adding a decarbonization constraint:

$$\mathcal{CI}(w) \leq (1 - 30\%) \mathcal{CI}(b)$$

Give the QP problem and find the optimal solution.

(b) The investor adds a green intensity constraint:

$$\mathcal{GI}(w) \geq (1 + 50\%) \mathcal{GI}(b)$$

What does this constraint mean? Give the QP problem and find the optimal solution.

(c) The investor adds a third constraint:

$$\mathcal{CM}(w) \leq (1 + 50\%) \mathcal{CM}(b)$$

What does this constraint mean? Give the QP problem and find the optimal solution.

(d) The investor adds a fourth constraint:

$$S(w) \geq S(b) + 0.5$$

What does this constraint mean? Give the QP problem and find the optimal solution.

(e) Compute the tracking error volatility, the carbon intensity, the carbon momentum, the green intensity, the ESG score, and the sector allocation for the optimized portfolios found in 2.(a), 2.(b), 2.(c), and 2.(d).

(f) Compute the implied expected return and the alpha of stock  $i$  priced in by each optimized portfolio  $w$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu}_i^{(w)} &= \text{SR}(w | r) \cdot \frac{(\Sigma w)_i}{\sigma(w)} \\ \alpha_i(w | b) &= \tilde{\mu}_i^{(b)} - \tilde{\mu}_i^{(w)}\end{aligned}$$

Comment on these results.

3. The investor's objective is to minimize the volatility of the tracking error relative to the benchmark while controlling the sector allocation.

(a) Compute the carbon intensity of sectors  $\mathcal{Sector}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Sector}_2$ .

(b) What is the optimization problem if we impose to reduce the carbon intensity of  $\mathcal{Sector}_1$  by 30% and the carbon intensity of  $\mathcal{Sector}_2$  by 50%? Find the optimized portfolio.

(c) Formulate the QP problem if we also add the sector neutrality constraint. Find the optimized portfolio.

(d) Compute the tracking error volatility, the carbon intensity, the carbon momentum, the green intensity, the ESG score, and the sector allocation for the optimized portfolios found in 3.(b), and 3.(c).

(e) Comment on these results.

---

<sup>8</sup>Note that the weights in a given sector must be renormalized to 100%.